

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/520,725	FACHE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rosalynd Keys	1621

All Participants:

Status of Application: 41

(1) Rosalynd Keys

(3) _____

(2) Louie Malloy for Norman H. Stepno

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 September 2007

Time: 10:24 a.m.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

NONE

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was made to Mr. Stepno to inquire as to whether a response was filed along with the extension of time filed September 14, 2007. Ms. Malloy, on behalf of Mr. Stepno, informed me that a response was not filed and that this application was to go abandoned, in favor of the continuation application, which was filed September 14, 2007.